

# NONNEGATIVE SOLUTIONS FOR A SYSTEM OF IMPULSIVE BVPS WITH NONLINEAR NONLOCAL BCS

GENNARO INFANTE AND PAOLAMARIA PIETRAMALA

**ABSTRACT.** We study the existence of nonnegative solutions for a system of impulsive differential equations subject to nonlinear, nonlocal boundary conditions. The system presents a coupling in the differential equation and in the boundary conditions. The main tool that we use is the theory of fixed point index for compact maps.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The aim of this paper is to study the existence and multiplicity of positive solutions for a class of systems of ordinary impulsive differential equations subject to nonlinear, nonlocal boundary conditions (BCs). The system presents a coupling in the nonlinearities and in the BCs. Problems with a coupling in the BCs often occur in applications, see for example [2, 3, 11, 16, 17, 26, 27, 38, 42, 51, 59]. On the other hand, impulsive problems have been studied not only because of a theoretical interest, but also because they model several phenomena in engineering, physics and life sciences. For example, Nieto and co-authors [57, 60] contributed to the field of population dynamics. An introduction to the theory of impulsive differential equations and its applications can be found in the books [4, 7, 35, 48].

Systems of second order impulsive boundary value problems (BVPs) have been studied in [37, 40, 47, 52]. Here we consider the (fairly general) system of second order differential equations of the form

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{aligned} u''(t) + g_1(t)f_1(t, u(t), v(t)) &= 0, \quad t \in (0, 1), \quad t \neq \tau_1, \\ v''(t) + g_2(t)f_2(t, u(t), v(t)) &= 0, \quad t \in (0, 1), \quad t \neq \tau_2, \end{aligned}$$

with impulsive terms of the type

$$(1.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \Delta u|_{t=\tau_1} &= I_1(u(\tau_1)), \quad \Delta u'|_{t=\tau_1} = N_1(u(\tau_1)), \quad \tau_1 \in (0, 1), \\ \Delta v|_{t=\tau_2} &= I_2(v(\tau_2)), \quad \Delta v'|_{t=\tau_2} = N_2(v(\tau_2)), \quad \tau_2 \in (0, 1), \end{aligned}$$

and nonlocal nonlinear BCs of ‘Sturm-Liouville’ kind

$$(1.3) \quad \begin{aligned} a_{11}u(0) - b_{11}u'(0) &= H_1(\alpha_1[u]), \quad a_{12}u(1) + b_{12}u'(1) = L_1(\beta_1[v]), \\ a_{21}v(0) - b_{21}v'(0) &= H_2(\alpha_2[v]), \quad a_{22}v(1) + b_{22}v'(1) = L_2(\beta_2[u]), \end{aligned}$$

where for  $i = 1, 2$ ,  $a_{i1}, b_{i1}, a_{i2}, b_{i2} \in [0, \infty)$ ,  $a_{i1} + b_{i1} \neq 0$ ,  $a_{i2} + b_{i2} \neq 0$  and  $\lambda = 0$  is not an eigenvalue of the problem

$$w''(t) = 0, \quad a_{i1}w(0) - b_{i1}w'(0) = 0, \quad a_{i2}w(1) + b_{i2}w'(1) = 0.$$

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 34B37, secondary 34B10, 34B18, 47H30.

*Key words and phrases.* Fixed point index, cone, impulsive equation, system, positive solution.

Here  $\Delta w|_{t=\tau}$  denotes the “jump” of the function  $w$  in  $t = \tau$ , that is

$$\Delta w|_{t=\tau} = w(\tau^+) - w(\tau^-),$$

where  $w(\tau^-)$  and  $w(\tau^+)$  are the left and right limits of  $w$  in  $t = \tau$  and  $\alpha_i[\cdot]$ ,  $\beta_i[\cdot]$  are bounded linear functionals given by positive Riemann-Stieltjes integrals, namely

$$\alpha_i[w] = \int_0^1 w(s) dA_i(s), \quad \beta_i[w] = \int_0^1 w(s) dB_i(s).$$

This type of formulation includes, as special cases, multi-point or integral conditions, namely

$$\alpha_i[w] = \sum_{j=1}^m \alpha_{ij} w(\eta_{ij}) \text{ and } \alpha_i[w] = \int_0^1 \alpha_i(s) w(s) ds,$$

studied for example [12, 20, 28, 31, 32, 34, 41, 44, 49, 50, 53, 54]. In the case of impulsive equations, nonlocal BCs have been studied by many authors, see for example [5, 6, 8, 13, 14, 18, 31, 32, 39, 56] and references therein. The functions  $H_i$ ,  $L_i$  are continuous functions; for earlier contributions on problems with nonlinear BCs we refer the reader to [9, 10, 16, 17, 21, 24, 43, 45] and references therein.

Our idea is to start from the results of [26, 27], valid for non-impulsive systems, and to rewrite the system (1.1)-(1.3) as a system of perturbed Hammerstein integral equations, namely

$$\begin{aligned} u(t) &= \gamma_1(t)H_1(\alpha_1[u]) + \delta_1(t)L_1(\beta_1[v]) + \int_0^1 k_1(t, s)g_1(s)f_1(s, u(s), v(s)) ds + G_1(u)(t), \\ v(t) &= \gamma_2(t)H_2(\alpha_2[v]) + \delta_2(t)L_2(\beta_2[u]) + \int_0^1 k_2(t, s)g_2(s)f_2(s, u(s), v(s)) ds + G_2(v)(t), \end{aligned}$$

where the functions  $\gamma_i, \delta_i$  are the unique solutions of

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_i''(t) &= 0, \quad a_1\gamma_i(0) - b_1\gamma_i'(0) = 1, \quad a_2\gamma_i(1) + b_2\gamma_i'(1) = 0, \\ \delta_i''(t) &= 0, \quad a_1\delta_i(0) - b_1\delta_i'(0) = 0, \quad a_2\delta_i(1) + b_2\delta_i'(1) = 1, \end{aligned}$$

and the functions  $G_i$ , that are construct in natural manner, take care of the impulses.

Systems of perturbed Hammerstein integral equations were studied in [15, 16, 17, 23, 25, 27, 33, 58]. Our existence theory for multiple positive solutions of the perturbed Hammerstein integral equations covers the system (1.1)-(1.3) as a special case and we show in an example that all the constants that occur in our theory can be computed. Here we focus on *positive* measures, because we want our functionals to preserve some inequalities. Our methodology involves the construction of *new* Stieltjes measures that take into account the boundary conditions and the impulsive effect.

We make use of the classical fixed point index theory (see for example [1, 19]) and also benefit of ideas from the papers [21, 25, 26, 29, 27, 30, 55].

## 2. THE SYSTEM OF INTEGRAL EQUATIONS

We begin with the assumptions on the terms that occur in the system of perturbed Hammerstein integral equations

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{aligned} u(t) &= \gamma_1(t)H_1(\alpha_1[u]) + \delta_1(t)L_1(\beta_1[v]) + G_1(u)(t) + F_1(u, v)(t), \\ v(t) &= \gamma_2(t)H_2(\alpha_2[v]) + \delta_2(t)L_2(\beta_2[u]) + G_2(v)(t) + F_2(u, v)(t), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(2.2) \quad F_i(u, v)(t) := \int_0^1 k_i(t, s)g_i(s)f_i(s, u(s), v(s)) ds.$$

The functions  $G_i$  are given, as in [30], by

$$(2.3) \quad G_i(w)(t) := \gamma_i(t)\chi_{(\tau_i, 1]}(d_{i1}I_i + e_{i1}N_i)(w(\tau_i)) + \delta_i(t)\chi_{[0, \tau_i]}(d_{i2}I_i + e_{i2}N_i)(w(\tau_i)),$$

with coefficients

$$d_{i1} = \frac{\delta'_i(\tau_i)}{W_i(\tau_i)}, \quad e_{i1} = \frac{-\delta_i(\tau_i)}{W_i(\tau_i)}, \quad d_{i2} = \frac{\gamma'_i(\tau_i)}{W_i(\tau_i)} \text{ and } e_{i2} = \frac{-\gamma_i(\tau_i)}{W_i(\tau_i)},$$

where  $W_i$  is the Wronskian,  $W_i(t) = \gamma_i(t)\delta'_i(t) - \delta_i(t)\gamma'_i(t)$ .

We assume that for every  $i = 1, 2$ ,

- $f_i : [0, 1] \times [0, \infty) \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  satisfies Carathéodory conditions, that is,  $f_i(\cdot, u, v)$  is measurable for each fixed  $(u, v)$  and  $f_i(t, \cdot, \cdot)$  is continuous for almost every (a.e.)  $t \in [0, 1]$ , and for each  $r > 0$  there exists  $\phi_{i,r} \in L^\infty[0, 1]$  such that

$$f_i(t, u, v) \leq \phi_{i,r}(t) \text{ for } u, v \in [0, r] \text{ and a.e. } t \in [0, 1].$$

- $k_i : [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is measurable, and for every  $\tau \in [0, 1]$  we have

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \tau} |k_i(t, s) - k_i(\tau, s)| = 0 \text{ for a.e. } s \in [0, 1].$$

- there exist a subinterval  $[a_i, b_i] \subseteq (\tau_i, 1]$ , a function  $\Phi_i \in L^\infty[0, 1]$ , and a constant  $c_{\Phi_i} \in (0, 1]$ , such that

$$k_i(t, s) \leq \Phi_i(s) \text{ for } t \in [0, 1] \text{ and a.e. } s \in [0, 1],$$

$$k_i(t, s) \geq c_{\Phi_i}\Phi_i(s) \text{ for } t \in [a_i, b_i] \text{ and a.e. } s \in [0, 1].$$

- $g_i \Phi_i \in L^1[0, 1]$ ,  $g_i \geq 0$  a.e., and  $\int_{a_i}^{b_i} \Phi_i(s)g_i(s) ds > 0$ .
- $\alpha_i[\cdot]$  and  $\beta_i[\cdot]$  are linear functionals given by

$$\alpha_i[w] = \int_0^1 w(s) dA_i(s), \quad \beta_i[w] = \int_0^1 w(s) dB_i(s),$$

involving Riemann-Stieltjes integrals;  $A_i$  and  $B_i$  are of bounded variation and continuous in  $\tau_i$  and  $dA_i, dB_i$  are *positive* measure.

- $H_i, L_i : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  are continuous functions such that there exist  $h_{i1}, h_{i2}, l_{i2} \in [0, \infty)$ , with

$$h_{i1}w \leq H_i(w) \leq h_{i2}w, \quad L_i(w) \leq l_{i2}w,$$

for every  $w \geq 0$ .

- $\gamma_i, \delta_i \in C[0, 1]$ ,  $\gamma_i, \delta_i \geq 0$ , and there exist  $c_{\gamma_i}, c_{\delta_i} \in (0, 1]$  such that

$$\gamma_i(t) \geq c_{\gamma_i} \|\gamma_i\|_\infty, \quad \delta_i(t) \geq c_{\delta_i} \|\delta_i\|_\infty \text{ for every } t \in [a_i, b_i],$$

where  $\|w\|_\infty := \sup\{|w(t)|, t \in [0, 1]\}$ .

- $I_i, N_i : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are continuous functions and there exist  $p_{i11}, p_{i12}, q_{i11} > 0$  and  $p_{i22} \geq 0$  such that for  $w \in [0, \infty)$

$$p_{i11}w \leq (d_{i1}I_i + e_{i1}N_i)(w) \leq p_{i12}w,$$

and

$$0 \leq (d_{i2}I_i + e_{i2}N_i)(w) \leq p_{i22}w.$$

We consider the Banach space

$$PC_\tau[0, 1] := \{w : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, w \text{ is continuous in } t \in [0, 1] \setminus \{\tau\}, \\ \text{there exist } w(\tau^-) = w(\tau) \text{ and } |w(\tau^+)| < \infty\},$$

endowed with the supremum norm  $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ .

We work in the space  $PC_{\tau_1}[0, 1] \times PC_{\tau_2}[0, 1]$  endowed with the norm

$$\|(u, v)\| := \max\{\|u\|_\infty, \|v\|_\infty\}.$$

Let

$$\tilde{K}_i := \{w \in PC_{\tau_i}[0, 1] : w(t) \geq 0 \text{ for } t \in [0, 1] \text{ and } \min_{t \in [a_i, b_i]} w(t) \geq c_i \|w\|_\infty\},$$

where

$$c_i = \min\left\{c_{\Phi_i}, c_{\gamma_i}, c_{\delta_i}, \frac{c_{\gamma_i} \|\gamma_i\|_\infty p_{i11}}{\max\{\|\gamma_i\| p_{i12}, \|\delta_i\| p_{i22}\}}\right\}$$

and consider the cone  $K$  in  $PC_{\tau_1}[0, 1] \times PC_{\tau_2}[0, 1]$  defined by

$$K := \{(u, v) \in \tilde{K}_1 \times \tilde{K}_2\}.$$

For a *positive* solution of the system (2.1) we mean a solution  $(u, v) \in K$  of (2.1) such that  $\|(u, v)\| > 0$ .

We now show that the integral operator

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{aligned} T(u, v)(t) &:= \begin{pmatrix} \gamma_1(t)H_1(\alpha_1[u]) + \delta_1(t)L_1(\beta_1[v]) + G_1(u)(t) + F_1(u, v)(t) \\ \gamma_2(t)H_2(\alpha_2[v]) + \delta_2(t)L_2(\beta_2[u]) + G_2(v)(t) + F_2(u, v)(t) \end{pmatrix} \\ &:= \begin{pmatrix} T_1(u, v)(t) \\ T_2(u, v)(t) \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

leaves the cone  $K$  invariant and is compact. In order to do this, we use the following compactness criterion, which can be found, for example, in [35] and is an extension of the classical Ascoli-Arzelà Theorem.

**Lemma 2.1.** *A set  $S \subseteq PC_\tau[0, 1]$  is relatively compact in  $PC_\tau[0, 1]$  if and only if  $S$  is bounded and quasi-equicontinuous (i.e.  $\forall u \in S$  and  $\forall \varepsilon > 0$ ,  $\exists \beta > 0$  such that  $t_1, t_2 \in [0, \tau]$  (or  $t_1, t_2 \in (\tau, 1]$ ) and  $|t_1 - t_2| < \beta$  implies  $|u(t_1) - u(t_2)| < \varepsilon$ ).*

**Lemma 2.2.** *The operator (2.4) maps  $K$  into  $K$  and is compact.*

*Proof.* Take  $(u, v) \in K$  such that  $\|(u, v)\| \leq r$ . Then we have, for  $t \in [0, 1]$ ,

$$\Lambda_1(u, v)(t) := \gamma_1(t)H_1(\alpha_1[u]) + \delta_1(t)L_1(\beta_1[v]) + \int_0^1 k_1(t, s)g_1(s)f_1(s, u(s), v(s)) ds$$

and therefore

$$\|\Lambda_1(u, v)\|_\infty \leq \|\gamma_1\|_\infty H_1(\alpha_1[u]) + \|\delta_1\|_\infty L_1(\beta_1[v]) + \int_0^1 \Phi_1(s)g_1(s)f_1(s, u(s), v(s)) ds.$$

We obtain, as in Lemma 1 of [27],

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{t \in [a_1, b_1]} \Lambda_1(u, v)(t) &\geq c_{\gamma_1} \|\gamma_1\|_\infty H_1(\alpha_1[u]) + c_{\delta_1} \|\delta_1\|_\infty L_1(\beta_1[v]) \\ &\quad + c_{\Phi_1} \int_0^1 \Phi_1(s)g_1(s)f_1(s, u(s), v(s)) ds \geq \min\{c_{\Phi_i}, c_{\gamma_i}, c_{\delta_i}\} \|\Lambda_1(u, v)\|_\infty. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, for  $t \in [0, \tau_1]$  we have

$$G_1(u)(t) \leq \|\delta_1\|_\infty p_{122} u(\tau_1)$$

and for  $t \in (\tau_1, 1]$

$$G_1(u)(t) \leq \|\gamma_1\|_\infty p_{112} u(\tau_1).$$

Therefore for  $t \in [0, 1]$  we obtain

$$G_1(u)(t) \leq u(\tau_1) \max\{\|\gamma_1\|_\infty p_{112}, \|\delta_1\|_\infty p_{122}\}$$

and thus

$$\|G_1(u)\| \leq u(\tau_1) \max\{\|\gamma_1\|_\infty p_{112}, \|\delta_1\|_\infty p_{122}\}.$$

For  $t \in [a_1, b_1]$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} G_1(u)(t) &= \gamma_1(t)(d_{11}I_1 + e_{11}N_1)(u(\tau_1)) \\ &\geq \frac{c_{\gamma_1} \|\gamma_1\|_\infty p_{111}}{\max\{\|\gamma_1\|_\infty p_{112}, \|\delta_1\|_\infty p_{122}\}} u(\tau_1) \max\{\|\gamma_1\|_\infty p_{112}, \|\delta_1\|_\infty p_{122}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we obtain

$$\min_{t \in [a_1, b_1]} T_1(u, v)(t) \geq c_1 \|T_1(u, v)\|_\infty.$$

Moreover, we have  $T_1(u, v)(t) \geq 0$ . Hence we have  $T_1(u, v) \in \tilde{K}_1$ . In a similar manner we proceed for  $T_2(u, v)$ .

Furthermore, the map  $T$  is compact since the components  $T_i$  are sum of compact maps: the compactness of  $F_i$  is well-known; the compactness of the term  $G_i$  follows, in a similar way as in [30], from Lemma 2.1; since  $\gamma_i, \delta_i, H_i, L_i$  are continuous, the remaining terms map bounded sets into bounded subsets of a finite dimensional space.  $\square$

### 3. FIXED POINT INDEX CALCULATIONS

**3.1. Preliminaries and notations.** We recall some basic facts regarding the classical fixed point index for compact maps, see for example [1, 19].

Let  $K$  be a cone in a Banach space  $X$ . If  $\Omega$  is a bounded open subset of  $K$  (in the relative topology) we denote by  $\overline{\Omega}$  and  $\partial\Omega$  the closure and the boundary relative to  $K$ . When  $\Omega$  is an open bounded subset of  $X$  we write  $\Omega_K = \Omega \cap K$ , an open subset of  $K$ .

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $K$  be a cone in a Banach space  $X$  and let  $\Omega$  be an open bounded set with  $0 \in \Omega_K$  and  $\overline{\Omega}_K \neq K$ . Assume that  $T : \overline{\Omega}_K \rightarrow K$  is a compact map such that  $x \neq Tx$  for  $x \in \partial\Omega_K$ . Then the fixed point index  $i_K(T, \Omega_K)$  has the following properties.*

- (1) *If there exists  $e \in K \setminus \{0\}$  such that  $x \neq Tx + \mu e$  for all  $x \in \partial\Omega_K$  and all  $\mu \geq 0$ , then  $i_K(T, \Omega_K) = 0$ .*
- (2) *If  $Tx \neq \mu x$  for all  $x \in \partial\Omega_K$  and all  $\mu \geq 1$ , then  $i_K(T, \Omega_K) = 1$ .*
- (3) *Let  $\Omega^1$  be open in  $X$  with  $\overline{\Omega_K^1} \subset \Omega_K$ . If  $i_K(T, \Omega_K) = 1$  and  $i_K(T, \Omega_K^1) = 0$ , then  $T$  has a fixed point in  $\Omega_K \setminus \overline{\Omega_K^1}$ . The same result holds if  $i_K(T, \Omega_K) = 0$  and  $i_K(T, \Omega_K^1) = 1$ .*

For our index calculations, we use the following (relative) open bounded sets in  $K$ :

$$K_\rho = \{(u, v) \in K : \|(u, v)\| < \rho\},$$

and

$$V_\rho = \{(u, v) \in K : \min_{t \in [a_1, b_1]} u(t) < \rho \text{ and } \min_{t \in [a_2, b_2]} v(t) < \rho\}.$$

The set  $V_\rho$  (in the context of systems) was introduced by the authors in [23] and is equal to the set called  $\Omega^{\rho/c}$  in [15]. From now on we set

$$c = \min\{c_1, c_2\}.$$

We utilize the following Lemma, the proof is similar to Lemma 5 of [15] and is omitted.

**Lemma 3.2.** *The sets  $K_\rho$  and  $V_\rho$  have the following properties:*

- $K_\rho \subset V_\rho \subset K_{\rho/c}$ .
- $(w_1, w_2) \in \partial V_\rho$  iff  $(w_1, w_2) \in K$  and  $\min_{t \in [a_i, b_i]} w_i(t) = \rho$  for some  $i \in \{1, 2\}$  and  $\min_{t \in [a_i, b_i]} w_i(t) \leq \rho$  for each  $i \in \{1, 2\}$ .
- If  $(w_1, w_2) \in \partial V_\rho$ , then for some  $i \in \{1, 2\}$   $\rho \leq w_i(t) \leq \rho/c$  for each  $t \in [a_i, b_i]$  and for each  $i \in \{1, 2\}$  we have  $0 \leq w_i(t) \leq \rho/c$  for each  $t \in [a_i, b_i]$  and  $\|w_i\|_\infty \leq \rho/c$ .

We introduce, in a similar way as in [22], the linear functionals

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\alpha}_i[w] &:= h_{i2}\alpha_i[w] + p_{i12}w(\tau_i) := \int_0^1 w(s) d\tilde{A}_i(s), \quad i = 1, 2, \\ \bar{\alpha}_i[w] &:= h_{i1}\alpha_i[w] + p_{i11}w(\tau_i) := \int_0^1 w(s) d\bar{A}_i(s), \quad i = 1, 2, \end{aligned}$$

and, for a measure  $dC$ , we use the notation

$$\mathcal{K}_C^i(s) := \int_0^1 k_i(t, s) dC(t).$$

We assume from now on that

- $\tilde{\alpha}_1[\gamma_1] < 1$ , and  $\tilde{\alpha}_2[\gamma_2] < 1$ .

**3.2. Index on the set  $K_\rho$ .** We prove a result concerning the fixed point index on the set  $K_\rho$ .

**Lemma 3.3.** *Assume that*

(I $_\rho^1$ ) *there exists  $\rho > 0$  such that for every  $i = 1, 2$*

$$(3.1) \quad \left( \frac{\|\gamma_i\|_\infty \tilde{\alpha}_i[\delta_i]}{1 - \tilde{\alpha}_i[\gamma_i]} + \|\delta_i\|_\infty \right) (l_{i2}\beta_i[1] + p_{i22}) + f_i^{0,\rho} \left( \frac{1}{m_i} + \frac{\|\gamma_i\|_\infty}{1 - \tilde{\alpha}_i[\gamma_i]} \int_0^1 \mathcal{K}_{\tilde{A}_i}^i(s) g_i(s) ds \right) < 1,$$

where

$$f_i^{0,\rho} = \sup \left\{ \frac{f_i(t, u, v)}{\rho} : (t, u, v) \in [0, 1] \times [0, \rho] \times [0, \rho] \right\} \text{ and } \frac{1}{m_i} = \sup_{t \in [0, 1]} \int_0^1 k_i(t, s) g_i(s) ds.$$

Then  $i_K(T, K_\rho) = 1$ .

*Proof.* We show that  $T(u, v) \neq \mu(u, v)$  for all  $\mu \geq 1$  when  $(u, v) \in \partial K_\rho$ ; this ensures, that the index is 1 on  $K_\rho$ . In fact, if this is not so, then there exist  $(u, v) \in K$  with  $\|(u, v)\| = \rho$  and  $\mu \geq 1$  such that  $\mu(u, v)(t) = T(u, v)(t)$ . Assume, without loss of generality, that  $\|u\|_\infty = \rho$  and  $\|v\|_\infty \leq \rho$ . We have for  $t \in [0, 1]$

$$\begin{aligned} \mu u(t) &= \gamma_1(t) (H_1(\alpha_1[u]) + \chi_{(\tau_1, 1]}(d_{11}I_1 + e_{11}N_1)(u(\tau_1))) \\ &\quad + \delta_1(t) (L_1(\beta_1[v]) + \chi_{[0, \tau_1]}(d_{12}I_1 + e_{12}N_1)(u(\tau_1))) + F_1(u, v)(t). \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\tilde{\alpha}_1[u] \geq H_1(\alpha_1[u]) + (d_{11}I_1 + e_{11}N_1)(u(\tau_1)),$$

we obtain

$$\mu u(t) \leq \gamma_1(t) \tilde{\alpha}_1[u] + \delta_1(t) (l_{12}\beta_1[v] + (d_{12}I_1 + e_{12}N_1)(u(\tau_1))) + F_1(u, v)(t),$$

and moreover, since  $v(t) \leq \rho$  and  $u(t) \leq \rho$  for all  $t \in [0, 1]$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (3.2) \quad \mu u(t) &\leq \gamma_1(t) \tilde{\alpha}_1[u] + \delta_1(t) (l_{12}\beta_1[\rho] + p_{122}u(\tau_1)) + F_1(u, v)(t) \\ &\leq \gamma_1(t) \tilde{\alpha}_1[u] + \delta_1(t) \rho (l_{12}\beta_1[1] + p_{122}) + F_1(u, v)(t). \end{aligned}$$

Applying  $\tilde{\alpha}_1$  to both sides of (3.2) gives

$$\mu \tilde{\alpha}_1[u] \leq \tilde{\alpha}_1[\gamma_1] \tilde{\alpha}_1[u] + \tilde{\alpha}_1[\delta_1] \rho (l_{12}\beta_1[1] + p_{122}) + \tilde{\alpha}_1[F_1(u, v)].$$

Thus we have

$$(\mu - \tilde{\alpha}_1[\gamma_1]) \tilde{\alpha}_1[u] \leq \tilde{\alpha}_1[\delta_1] \rho (l_{12}\beta_1[1] + p_{122}) + \tilde{\alpha}_1[F_1(u, v)],$$

that is

$$\tilde{\alpha}_1[u] \leq \rho \frac{\tilde{\alpha}_1[\delta_1] (l_{12}\beta_1[1] + p_{122})}{\mu - \tilde{\alpha}_1[\gamma_1]} + \frac{\tilde{\alpha}_1[F_1(u, v)]}{\mu - \tilde{\alpha}_1[\gamma_1]}.$$

Substituting into (3.2) gives

$$\begin{aligned}\mu u(t) &\leq \gamma_1(t) \left( \rho \frac{\tilde{\alpha}_1[\delta_1](l_{12}\beta_1[1] + p_{122})}{\mu - \tilde{\alpha}_1[\gamma_1]} + \frac{\tilde{\alpha}_1[F_1(u, v)]}{\mu - \tilde{\alpha}_1[\gamma_1]} \right) + \delta_1(t) \rho (l_{12}\beta_1[1] + p_{122}) + F_1(u, v)(t) \\ &= \rho \frac{\gamma_1(t) \tilde{\alpha}_1[\delta_1](l_{12}\beta_1[1] + p_{122})}{\mu - \tilde{\alpha}_1[\gamma_1]} + \rho \delta_1(t) (l_{12}\beta_1[1] + p_{122}) \\ &\quad + \frac{\gamma_1(t)}{\mu - \tilde{\alpha}_1[\gamma_1]} \int_0^1 \mathcal{K}_{\tilde{A}_1}^1(s) g_1(s) f_1(s, u(s), v(s)) ds + F_1(u, v)(t).\end{aligned}$$

Since  $\mu \geq 1$ , we have  $\frac{1}{\mu - \tilde{\alpha}_1[\gamma_1]} \leq \frac{1}{1 - \tilde{\alpha}_1[\gamma_1]}$  and therefore

$$\begin{aligned}\mu u(t) &\leq \rho \frac{\gamma_1(t) \tilde{\alpha}_1[\delta_1](l_{12}\beta_1[1] + p_{122})}{1 - \tilde{\alpha}_1[\gamma_1]} + \rho \delta_1(t) (l_{12}\beta_1[1] + p_{122}) \\ &\quad + \frac{\gamma_1(t)}{1 - \tilde{\alpha}_1[\gamma_1]} \int_0^1 \mathcal{K}_{\tilde{A}_1}^1(s) g_1(s) f_1(s, u(s), v(s)) ds + F_1(u, v)(t).\end{aligned}$$

Taking the supremum of  $t$  on  $[0, 1]$  gives

$$\begin{aligned}\mu \rho &\leq \rho \frac{\|\gamma_1\|_\infty \tilde{\alpha}_1[\delta_1](l_{12}\beta_1[1] + p_{122})}{1 - \tilde{\alpha}_1[\gamma_1]} + \rho \|\delta_1\|_\infty (l_{12}\beta_1[1] + p_{122}) \\ &\quad + \rho \frac{\|\gamma_1\|_\infty}{1 - \tilde{\alpha}_1[\gamma_1]} f_i^{0, \rho} \int_0^1 \mathcal{K}_{\tilde{A}_1}^1(s) g_1(s) ds + \rho f_i^{0, \rho} \frac{1}{m_1}.\end{aligned}$$

Using the hypothesis (3.1) we obtain  $\mu \rho < \rho$ . This contradicts the fact that  $\mu \geq 1$  and proves the result.  $\square$

**3.3. Index on the set  $V_\rho$ .** We give two Lemma about the index on a set  $V_\rho$ . In the Lemma 3.4 we assume that the nonlinearities  $f_1, f_2$  have the same growth. The idea in the Lemma 3.5 is similar to the one in Lemma 4 of [25]: we control the growth of one nonlinearity  $f_i$ , at the cost of having to deal with a larger domain. For other results on the existence of solutions with different growth on the nonlinearities see [46, 58].

**Lemma 3.4.** *Assume that*

$(I_\rho^0)$  *there exist  $\rho > 0$  such that for every  $i = 1, 2$*

$$(3.3) \quad f_{i, (\rho, \rho/c)} \left( \frac{c_{\gamma_i} \|\gamma_i\|_\infty}{1 - \tilde{\alpha}_i[\gamma_i]} \int_{a_i}^{b_i} \mathcal{K}_{\tilde{A}_i}^i(s) g_i(s) ds + \frac{1}{M_i} \right) > 1,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}f_{1, (\rho, \rho/c)} &= \inf \left\{ \frac{f_1(t, u, v)}{\rho} : (t, u, v) \in [a_1, b_1] \times [\rho, \rho/c] \times [0, \rho/c] \right\}, \\ f_{2, (\rho, \rho/c)} &= \inf \left\{ \frac{f_2(t, u, v)}{\rho} : (t, u, v) \in [a_2, b_2] \times [0, \rho/c] \times [\rho, \rho/c] \right\} \\ \text{and } \frac{1}{M_i} &= \inf_{t \in [a_i, b_i]} \int_{a_i}^{b_i} k_i(t, s) g_i(s) ds.\end{aligned}$$

Then  $i_K(T, V_\rho) = 0$ .



*Proof.* Let  $e(t) \equiv 1$  for  $t \in [0, 1]$ . Then  $(e, e) \in K$ . We prove that

$$(u, v) \neq T(u, v) + \mu(e, e) \quad \text{for } (u, v) \in \partial V_\rho \quad \text{and } \mu \geq 0.$$

In fact, if this does not happen, there exist  $(u, v) \in \partial V_\rho$  and  $\mu \geq 0$  such that  $(u, v) = T(u, v) + \mu(e, e)$ . Without loss of generality, we can assume that for all  $t \in [a_1, b_1]$  we have

$$\rho \leq u(t) \leq \rho/c, \quad \min u(t) = \rho \quad \text{and} \quad 0 \leq v(t) \leq \rho/c.$$

For  $t \in [a_1, b_1]$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} u(t) &= \gamma_1(t)(H_1(\alpha_1[u]) + (d_{11}I_1 + e_{11}N_1)(u(\tau_1))) + \delta_1(t)L_1(\beta_1[v]) + F_1(u, v)(t) + \mu e(t) \\ &\geq \gamma_1(t)(H_1(\alpha_1[u]) + (d_{11}I_1 + e_{11}N_1)(u(\tau_1))) + F_1(u, v)(t) + \mu e(t). \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\bar{\alpha}_1[u] \leq H_1(\alpha_1[u]) + (d_{11}I_1 + e_{11}N_1)(u(\tau_1)),$$

we have

$$(3.4) \quad u(t) \geq \gamma_1(t)\bar{\alpha}_1[u] + F_1(u, v)(t) + \mu e(t).$$

Applying  $\bar{\alpha}_1$  to both sides of (3.4) gives

$$\bar{\alpha}_1[u] \geq \bar{\alpha}_1[\gamma_1]\bar{\alpha}_1[u] + \bar{\alpha}_1[F_1(u, v)] + \mu\bar{\alpha}_1[e].$$

This can be written in the form

$$(1 - \bar{\alpha}_1[\gamma_1])\bar{\alpha}_1[u] \geq \bar{\alpha}_1[F_1(u, v)] + \mu\bar{\alpha}_1[e],$$

that is

$$\bar{\alpha}_1[u] \geq \frac{\bar{\alpha}_1[F_1(u, v)]}{1 - \bar{\alpha}_1[\gamma_1]} + \frac{\mu\bar{\alpha}_1[e]}{1 - \bar{\alpha}_1[\gamma_1]}.$$

Thus, (3.4) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} u(t) &\geq \frac{\gamma_1(t)\bar{\alpha}_1[F_1(u, v)]}{1 - \bar{\alpha}_1[\gamma_1]} + \frac{\mu\gamma_1(t)\bar{\alpha}_1[e]}{1 - \bar{\alpha}_1[\gamma_1]} + F_1(u, v)(t) + \mu e(t) \\ &= \frac{\gamma_1(t)}{1 - \bar{\alpha}_1[\gamma_1]} \int_0^1 \mathcal{K}_{A_i}^1(s)g_1(s)f_1(s, u(s), v(s)) ds + \frac{\mu\gamma_1(t)\bar{\alpha}_1[e]}{1 - \bar{\alpha}_1[\gamma_1]} \\ &\quad + \int_0^1 k_1(t, s)g_1(s)f_1(s, u(s), v(s)) ds + \mu. \end{aligned}$$

Then we have, for  $t \in [a_1, b_1]$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} u(t) &\geq \frac{c_{\gamma_1}\|\gamma_1\|_\infty}{1 - \bar{\alpha}_1[\gamma_1]} \int_{a_1}^{b_1} \mathcal{K}_{A_1}^1(s)g_1(s)f_1(s, u(s), v(s)) ds + \frac{\mu c_{\gamma_1}\|\gamma_1\|_\infty \bar{\alpha}_1[e]}{1 - \bar{\alpha}_1[\gamma_1]} \\ &\quad + \int_{a_1}^{b_1} k_1(t, s)g_1(s)f_1(s, u(s), v(s)) ds + \mu \\ &\geq \frac{c_{\gamma_1}\|\gamma_1\|_\infty}{1 - \bar{\alpha}_1[\gamma_1]} \int_{a_1}^{b_1} \mathcal{K}_{A_1}^1(s)g_1(s)f_1(s, u(s), v(s)) ds \\ &\quad + \int_{a_1}^{b_1} k_1(t, s)g_1(s)f_1(s, u(s), v(s)) ds + \mu. \end{aligned}$$

Taking the minimum over  $[a_1, b_1]$  gives

$$\begin{aligned}\rho = \min_{t \in [a_1, b_1]} u(t) &\geq \rho f_{1,(\rho, \rho/c)} \frac{c_{\gamma_1} \|\gamma_1\|_\infty}{1 - \bar{\alpha}_1[\gamma_1]} \int_{a_1}^{b_1} \mathcal{K}_{\bar{A}_1}^1(s) g_1(s) ds + \rho f_{1,(\rho, \rho/c)} \frac{1}{M_1} + \mu \\ &= \rho f_{1,(\rho, \rho/c)} \left( \frac{c_{\gamma_1} \|\gamma_1\|_\infty}{1 - \bar{\alpha}_1[\gamma_1]} \int_{a_1}^{b_1} \mathcal{K}_{\bar{A}_1}^1(s) g_1(s) ds + \frac{1}{M_1} \right) + \mu.\end{aligned}$$

Using the hypothesis (3.3) we obtain  $\rho > \rho + \mu$ , a contradiction.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.5.** *Assume that*

$(I_\rho^0)^*$  *there exist  $\rho > 0$  such that for some  $i = 1, 2$*

$$(3.5) \quad f_{i,(0, \rho/c)}^* \left( \frac{c_{\gamma_i} \|\gamma_i\|_\infty}{1 - \bar{\alpha}_i[\gamma_i]} \int_{a_i}^{b_i} \mathcal{K}_{\bar{A}_i}^i(s) g_i(s) ds + \frac{1}{M_i} \right) > 1,$$

where

$$f_{i,(0, \rho/c)}^* = \inf \left\{ \frac{f_i(t, u, v)}{\rho} : (t, u, v) \in [a_i, b_i] \times [0, \rho/c] \times [0, \rho/c] \right\}.$$

Then  $i_K(T, V_\rho) = 0$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that the condition (3.5) holds for  $i = 1$ . Let  $e(t) \equiv 1$  for  $t \in [0, 1]$ . Then  $(e, e) \in K$ . We prove that

$$(u, v) \neq T(u, v) + \mu(e, e) \quad \text{for } (u, v) \in \partial V_\rho \quad \text{and } \mu \geq 0.$$

In fact, if this does not happen, there exist  $(u, v) \in \partial V_\rho$  and  $\mu \geq 0$  such that  $(u, v) = T(u, v) + \mu(e, e)$ . So, for all  $t \in [a_1, b_1]$ ,  $\min u(t) \leq \rho$  and for  $t \in [a_2, b_2]$ ,  $\min v(t) \leq \rho$ . We obtain, for  $t \in [a_1, b_1]$ , with the same proof of Lemma 3.4,

$$\begin{aligned}u(t) &\geq \frac{\gamma_1(t)}{1 - \bar{\alpha}_1[\gamma_1]} \int_{a_1}^{b_1} \mathcal{K}_{\bar{A}_1}^1(s) g_1(s) f_1(s, u(s), v(s)) ds \\ &\quad + \int_{a_1}^{b_1} k_1(t, s) g_1(s) f_1(s, u(s), v(s)) ds + \mu.\end{aligned}$$

Then we have

$$\min_{t \in [a_1, b_1]} u(t) \geq \rho f_{1,(0, \rho/c)}^* \frac{c_{\gamma_1} \|\gamma_1\|_\infty}{1 - \bar{\alpha}_1[\gamma_1]} \int_{a_1}^{b_1} \mathcal{K}_{\bar{A}_1}^1(s) g_1(s) ds + \rho f_{1,(0, \rho/c)}^* \frac{1}{M_1} + \mu.$$

Using the hypothesis (3.5) we obtain  $\min_{t \in [a_1, b_1]} u(t) > \rho + \mu \geq \rho$ , a contradiction.  $\square$

#### 4. EXISTENCE AND MULTIPLICITY OF THE SOLUTIONS

By combining the above results on the index of the sets  $V_\rho$  and  $K_\rho$  we obtain the following Theorem, in which we deal with the existence of at least one, two or three solutions. It is possible to state results for four or more positive solutions by expanding the lists in conditions  $(S_5), (S_6)$ , see for example the paper [36] for this type of results. We omit the proof of the Theorem 4.1 which follows from the properties of fixed point index.

**Theorem 4.1.** *The system (2.1) has at least one positive solution in  $K$  if either of the following conditions hold.*

(S<sub>1</sub>) *There exist  $\rho_1, \rho_2 \in (0, \infty)$  with  $\rho_1/c < \rho_2$  such that  $(I_{\rho_1}^0)$  [or  $(I_{\rho_1}^0)^*$ ],  $(I_{\rho_2}^1)$  hold.*

(S<sub>2</sub>) *There exist  $\rho_1, \rho_2 \in (0, \infty)$  with  $\rho_1 < \rho_2$  such that  $(I_{\rho_1}^1)$ ,  $(I_{\rho_2}^0)$  hold.*

*The system (2.1) has at least two positive solutions in  $K$  if one of the following conditions hold.*

(S<sub>3</sub>) *There exist  $\rho_1, \rho_2, \rho_3 \in (0, \infty)$  with  $\rho_1/c < \rho_2 < \rho_3$  such that  $(I_{\rho_1}^0)$  [or  $(I_{\rho_1}^0)^*$ ],  $(I_{\rho_2}^1)$  and  $(I_{\rho_3}^0)$  hold.*

(S<sub>4</sub>) *There exist  $\rho_1, \rho_2, \rho_3 \in (0, \infty)$  with  $\rho_1 < \rho_2$  and  $\rho_2/c < \rho_3$  such that  $(I_{\rho_1}^1)$ ,  $(I_{\rho_2}^0)$  and  $(I_{\rho_3}^1)$  hold.*

*The system (2.1) has at least three positive solutions in  $K$  if one of the following conditions hold.*

(S<sub>5</sub>) *There exist  $\rho_1, \rho_2, \rho_3, \rho_4 \in (0, \infty)$  with  $\rho_1/c < \rho_2 < \rho_3$  and  $\rho_3/c < \rho_4$  such that  $(I_{\rho_1}^0)$  [or  $(I_{\rho_1}^0)^*$ ],  $(I_{\rho_2}^1)$ ,  $(I_{\rho_3}^0)$  and  $(I_{\rho_4}^1)$  hold.*

(S<sub>6</sub>) *There exist  $\rho_1, \rho_2, \rho_3, \rho_4 \in (0, \infty)$  with  $\rho_1 < \rho_2$  and  $\rho_2/c < \rho_3 < \rho_4$  such that  $(I_{\rho_1}^1)$ ,  $(I_{\rho_2}^0)$ ,  $(I_{\rho_3}^1)$  and  $(I_{\rho_4}^0)$  hold.*

We illustrate the conditions that occur in the above Theorem in the following example, where multi-point type BCs are considered.

**Example 4.2.** Consider the system

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4.1) \quad & u'' + \frac{1}{8}(u^3 + t^3 v^3) + 2 = 0, \quad v'' = \frac{1}{8}(\sqrt{tu} + 13v^2), \quad t \in (0, 1), \\
 & \Delta u|_{t=1/5} = I_1(u(1/5)), \quad \Delta u'|_{t=1/5} = N_1(u(1/5)), \\
 & \Delta v|_{t=2/5} = I_2(v(2/5)), \quad \Delta v'|_{t=2/5} = N_2(v(2/5)), \\
 & u(0) = H_1(u(1/4)), \quad u(1) = L_1(v(3/4)), \quad v(0) = H_2(v(1/3)), \quad v'(1) = L_2(u(2/3)).
 \end{aligned}$$

This differential system can be rewritten in the integral form

$$\begin{aligned}
 u(t) &= (1-t)H_1(u(1/4)) + tL_1(v(3/4)) + G_1(u)(t) + \int_0^1 k_1(t, s)g_1(s)f_1(s, u(s), v(s)) ds, \\
 v(t) &= H_2(v(1/3)) + tL_2(u(2/3)) + G_2(v)(t) + \int_0^1 k_2(t, s)g_2(s)f_2(s, u(s), v(s)) ds,
 \end{aligned}$$

where the Green's functions

$$k_1(t, s) = \begin{cases} s(1-t), & s \leq t, \\ t(1-s), & s > t, \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad k_2(t, s) = \begin{cases} s, & s \leq t, \\ t, & s > t, \end{cases}$$

are non-negative continuous functions on  $[0, 1] \times [0, 1]$ . Here  $\gamma_1(t) = 1-t$ ,  $\gamma_2(t) = 1$ ,  $\delta_1(t) = t$ ,  $\delta_2(t) = t$ ,  $c_{\gamma_1} = 1-b_1$ ,  $c_{\gamma_2} = 1$ ,  $c_{\delta_1} = a_1$  and  $c_{\delta_2} = a_2$ . The intervals  $[a_1, b_1]$  may be chosen arbitrarily in  $(1/5, 1)$  and  $[a_2, b_2]$  can be chosen arbitrarily in  $(2/5, 1]$ . It is easy to check that

$$k_1(t, s) \leq s(1-s) := \Phi_1(s), \quad \min_{t \in [a_1, b_1]} k_1(t, s) \geq c_{\Phi_1} s(1-s),$$

where  $c_{\Phi_1} = \min\{1 - b_1, a_1\}$ . Furthermore we have that

$$k_2(t, s) \leq s := \Phi_2(s), \quad \min_{t \in [a_2, b_2]} k_2(t, s) \geq c_{\Phi_2} \Phi_2(s),$$

where  $c_{\Phi_2} = a_2$ . The choice  $[a_1, b_1] = [1/4, 3/4]$  and  $[a_2, b_2] = [1/2, 1]$  gives

$$c = \frac{1}{4}, \quad m_1 = 8, \quad M_1 = 16, \quad m_2 = 2, \quad M_2 = 4.$$

In our example, the nonlinearities used to illustrate the constants that occur in our theory are taken in a similar way as in [25, 27, 26, 30]. We consider

$$H_1(w) = \begin{cases} \frac{5}{6}w, & 0 \leq w \leq 1, \\ \frac{1}{3}w + \frac{1}{2}, & w \geq 1, \end{cases} \quad L_1(w) = \frac{1}{30}(1 + \sin(w)),$$

$$H_2(w) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{19}w, & 0 \leq w \leq 2, \\ \frac{1}{25}w + \frac{12}{475}, & w \geq 2, \end{cases} \quad L_2(w) = \frac{1}{38}(1 + \cos(w)).$$

The functions  $H_i$  and  $L_i$  satisfy the conditions

$$h_{i1}w \leq H_i(w) \leq h_{i2}w, \quad L_i(w) \leq l_{i2}w,$$

with

$$h_{11} = \frac{1}{3}, h_{12} = \frac{5}{6}, h_{21} = \frac{1}{25}, h_{22} = \frac{1}{19}, l_{12} = \frac{1}{15}, l_{22} = \frac{1}{75}.$$

The functions

$$I_1(w) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{100}w, & 0 \leq w \leq 1, \\ \frac{13}{1400}w + \frac{1}{1400}, & w \geq 1, \end{cases} \quad N_1(w) = \begin{cases} -\frac{3}{100}w, & 0 \leq w \leq 1, \\ -\frac{39}{1400}w - \frac{3}{1400}, & w \geq 1, \end{cases}$$

$$I_2(w) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{300}w, & 0 \leq w \leq 1, \\ \frac{1}{400}w + \frac{1}{1200}, & w \geq 1, \end{cases} \quad N_2(w) = \begin{cases} -\frac{1}{30}w, & 0 \leq w \leq 1, \\ -\frac{1}{40}w - \frac{1}{120}, & w \geq 1, \end{cases}$$

satisfy the conditions for  $w \in [0, \infty)$

$$p_{i11}w \leq (d_{i1}I_i + e_{i1}N_i)(w) \leq p_{i12}w, \quad 0 \leq (d_{i2}I_i + e_{i2}N_i)(w) \leq p_{i22}w,$$

with

$$d_{11} = 1, d_{21} = 1, e_{11} = -\frac{1}{5}, e_{21} = -\frac{2}{5}, d_{12} = -1, d_{22} = 0, e_{12} = -\frac{4}{5}, e_{22} = -1,$$

$$p_{111} = \frac{1}{70}, p_{112} = \frac{1}{50}, p_{122} = \frac{1}{40}, p_{211} = \frac{1}{80}, p_{212} = \frac{1}{60}, p_{222} = \frac{1}{30}.$$

We have that

$$\tilde{\alpha}_1[\gamma_1] = \frac{641}{1000}, \tilde{\alpha}_2[\gamma_2] = \frac{79}{1140}, \tilde{\alpha}_1[\delta_1] = \frac{634}{3000}, \tilde{\alpha}_2[\delta_2] = \frac{23}{950},$$

$$\bar{\alpha}_1[\gamma_1] = \frac{183}{700}, \bar{\alpha}_2[\gamma_2] = \frac{21}{400}, \beta_1[1] = \beta_2[1] = 1.$$

$$\int_0^1 \mathcal{K}_{\tilde{A}_1}^1(s) ds = \frac{3189}{40000}, \int_0^1 \mathcal{K}_{\tilde{A}_2}^2(s) ds = \frac{853}{42750}, \int_{1/4}^{3/4} \mathcal{K}_{\tilde{A}_1}^1(s) ds = \frac{181}{8400}, \int_{1/2}^1 \mathcal{K}_{\tilde{A}_2}^2(s) ds = \frac{11}{1200}.$$

The existence of multiple solutions of the system (4.1) follows from Theorem 4.1. Then, for  $\rho_1 = 1/8$ ,  $\rho_2 = 1$  and  $\rho_3 = 11$ , we have (the constants that follow have been rounded to 2 decimal places unless exact)

$$\begin{aligned} \inf \left\{ f_1(t, u, v) : (t, u, v) \in [1/4, 3/4] \times [0, 1/2] \times [0, 1/2] \right\} &= f_1(1/4, 0, 0) > 14.33\rho_1, \\ \sup \left\{ f_1(t, u, v) : (t, u, v) \in [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \right\} &= f_1(1, 1, 1) < 2.46\rho_2, \\ \sup \left\{ f_2(t, u, v) : (t, u, v) \in [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \right\} &= f_2(1, 1, 1) < 1.82\rho_2, \\ \inf \left\{ f_1(t, u, v) : (t, u, v) \in [1/4, 3/4] \times [11, 44] \times [0, 44] \right\} &= f_1(1/4, 11, 0) > 14.33\rho_3, \\ \inf \left\{ f_2(t, u, v) : (t, u, v) \in [1/2, 1] \times [0, 44] \times [11, 44] \right\} &= f_2(1/2, 0, 11) > 3.86\rho_3, \end{aligned}$$

that is the conditions  $(I_{\rho_1}^0)^*$ ,  $(I_{\rho_2}^1)$  and  $(I_{\rho_3}^0)$  are satisfied; therefore the system (4.1) has at least two positive solutions in  $K$ .

## REFERENCES

- [1] H. Amann, Fixed point equations and nonlinear eigenvalue problems in ordered Banach spaces, *SIAM. Rev.*, **18** (1976), 620–709.
- [2] H. Amann, *Parabolic evolution equations with nonlinear boundary conditions*, Part 1 (Berkeley, Calif., 1983), 17–27, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., 45, Part 1, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1986.
- [3] N. A. Asif and R. A. Khan, Positive solutions to singular system with four-point coupled boundary conditions, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **386** (2012), 848–861.
- [4] D. Bařnov and P. Simeonov, *Impulsive Differential Equations: Periodic Solutions and Applications*, Pitman Monographs and Surveys in Pure and Applied Mathematics, 66, Longman Scientific & Technical, New York, 1993.
- [5] M. Benchohra, F. Berhoun and J. Henderson, Multiple positive solutions for impulsive boundary value problems with integral boundary conditions, *Math. Sci. Res. J.*, **11** (2007), 614–626.
- [6] M. Benchohra, E. P. Gatsori, L. G3rniewicz and S. K. Ntouyas, Existence results for impulsive semilinear neutral functional differential inclusions with nonlocal conditions, *Nonlinear analysis and applications: to V. Lakshmikantham on his 80th birthday. Vol. 1, 2*, 259–288, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 2003.
- [7] M. Benchohra, J. Henderson and S. Ntouyas, *Impulsive Differential Equations and Inclusions*, Contemporary Mathematics and Its Applications, 2, Hindawi Publishing Corporation, New York, 2006.
- [8] O. Bolojan-Nica, G. Infante and P. Pietramala, Existence results for impulsive systems with initial nonlocal conditions, *Math. Model. Anal.*, **18** (2013), 599–611.
- [9] A. Cabada, An overview of the lower and upper solutions method with nonlinear boundary value conditions, *Bound. Value Probl.* (2011), Art. ID 893753, 18 pp.
- [10] A. Cabada and F. Minh3s, Fully nonlinear fourth-order equations with functional boundary conditions, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **340** (2008), 239–251.
- [11] Y. Cui and J. Sun, On existence of positive solutions of coupled integral boundary value problems for a nonlinear singular superlinear differential system, *Electron. J. Qual. Theory Differ. Equ.*, No. 41 (2012), pp. 1–13.
- [12] A. Domoshnitsky and I. Volinsky, About Positivity of Green’s Functions for Nonlocal Boundary Value Problems with Impulsive Delay Equations, *The Scientific World Journal*, **2014** (2014), 13 pages, Article ID 978519.

- [13] M. Feng, B. Du and W. Ge, Impulsive boundary value problems with integral boundary conditions and one-dimensional  $p$ -Laplacian, *Nonlinear Anal.*, **70** (2009), 3119–3126.
- [14] M. Feng and D. Xie, Multiple positive solutions of multi-point boundary value problem for second-order impulsive differential equations, *J. Comput. Appl. Math.*, **223** (2009), 438–448.
- [15] D. Franco, G. Infante and D. O'Regan, Nontrivial solutions in abstract cones for Hammerstein integral systems, *Dyn. Contin. Discrete Impuls. Syst. Ser. A Math. Anal.*, **14** (2007), 837–850.
- [16] C. S. Goodrich, Nonlocal systems of BVPs with asymptotically superlinear boundary conditions, *Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin.*, **53** (2012), 79–97.
- [17] C. S. Goodrich, Nonlocal systems of BVPs with asymptotically sublinear boundary conditions, *Appl. Anal. Discrete Math.*, **6** (2012), 174–193.
- [18] J. R. Graef and A. Ouahab, Some existence results for impulsive dynamic equations on time scales with integral boundary conditions, *Dyn. Contin. Discrete Impuls. Syst. Ser. A Math. Anal.*, **13B** (2006), 11–24.
- [19] D. Guo and V. Lakshmikantham, *Nonlinear Problems in Abstract Cones*, Academic Press, 1988.
- [20] J. Henderson and R. Luca, Positive solutions for a system of second-order multi-point boundary value problems, *Appl. Math. Comput.*, **218** (2012), 6083–6094.
- [21] G. Infante, Nonlocal boundary value problems with two nonlinear boundary conditions, *Commun. Appl. Anal.*, **12** (2008), 279–288.
- [22] G. Infante and P. Pietramala, Nonlocal impulsive boundary value problems with solutions that change sign, *CP1124, Mathematical Models in Engineering, Biology, and Medicine, Proceedings of the International Conference on Boundary Value Problems*, edited by A. Cabada, E. Liz, and J. J. Nieto, (2009), 205–213.
- [23] G. Infante and P. Pietramala, Eigenvalues and non-negative solutions of a system with nonlocal BCs, *Nonlinear Stud.*, **16** (2009), 187–196.
- [24] G. Infante and P. Pietramala, A cantilever equation with nonlinear boundary conditions *Electron. J. Qual. Theory Differ. Equ.*, **Spec. Ed. I**, No. 15 (2009), 1–14.
- [25] G. Infante and P. Pietramala, Existence and multiplicity of non-negative solutions for systems of perturbed Hammerstein integral equations, *Nonlinear Anal.*, **71** (2009), 1301–1310.
- [26] G. Infante and P. Pietramala, Multiple nonnegative solutions of systems with coupled nonlinear boundary conditions, to appear in *Mathematical Methods in the Applied Sciences*, DOI: 10.1002/mma.2957.
- [27] G. Infante, F. M. Minhós and P. Pietramala, Non-negative solutions of systems of ODEs with coupled boundary conditions *Commun. Nonlinear Sci. Numer. Simul.*, **17** (2012), 4952–4960.
- [28] G. Infante, P. Pietramala and M. Tenuta, Existence and localization of positive solutions for a nonlocal BVP arising in chemical reactor theory, *Commun. Nonlinear Sci. Numer. Simulat.*, **19** (2014), 2245–2251.
- [29] G. Infante and J. R. L. Webb, Nonlinear nonlocal boundary value problems and perturbed Hammerstein integral equations, *Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc.*, **49** (2006), 637–656.
- [30] G. Infante, P. Pietramala and M. Zima, Positive solutions for a class of nonlocal impulsive bvps via fixed point index, *Topol. Methods Nonlinear Anal.*, **36** (2010), 263–284.
- [31] T. Jankowski, Nonnegative solutions to nonlocal boundary value problems for systems of second-order differential equations dependent on the first-order derivatives, *Nonlinear Anal.*, **87** (2013), 83–101.
- [32] T. Jankowski, Positive solutions to second order four-point boundary value problems for impulsive differential equations, *Appl. Math. Comput.*, **202** (2008), 550–561.
- [33] P. Kang and Z. Wei, Three positive solutions of singular nonlocal boundary value problems for systems of nonlinear second-order ordinary differential equations, *Nonlinear Anal.*, **70** (2009), 444–451.

- [34] G. L. Karakostas and P. Ch. Tsamatos, Existence of multiple positive solutions for a nonlocal boundary value problem, *Topol. Methods Nonlinear Anal.*, **19** (2002), 109–121.
- [35] V. Lakshmikantham, D. D. Baĭnov and P. S. Simeonov, *Theory of Impulsive Differential Equations*, Series in Modern Applied Mathematics, 6, World Scientific Publishing Co., Inc., Teaneck, NJ, 1989.
- [36] K. Q. Lan, Multiple positive solutions of Hammerstein integral equations with singularities, *Diff. Eqns and Dynam. Syst.*, **8** (2000), 175–195.
- [37] E. K. Lee and Y. H. Lee, Multiple positive solutions of a singular Emden-Fowler type problem for second-order impulsive differential systems, *Bound. Value Probl.*, **2011** (2011), Art. ID 212980, 22 pp.
- [38] A. Leung, A semilinear reaction-diffusion prey-predator system with nonlinear coupled boundary conditions: equilibrium and stability, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, **31** (1982), 223–241.
- [39] Y. Liu and W. Ge, Solutions of a generalized multi-point conjugate BVPs for higher order impulsive differential equations, *Dynam. Systems Appl.*, **14** (2005), 265–279.
- [40] L. Liu, L. Hu and Y. Wu, Positive solutions of two-point boundary value problems for systems of nonlinear second-order singular and impulsive differential equations, *Nonlinear Anal.*, **69** (2008), 3774–3789.
- [41] R. Ma, A survey on nonlocal boundary value problems, *Appl. Math. E-Notes*, **7** (2001), 257–279.
- [42] F. A. Mehmeti and S. Nicaise, Nonlinear interaction problems, *Nonlinear Anal.*, **20** (1993), 27–61.
- [43] L. Muglia and P. Pietramala, Second order impulsive differential equations with functional initial conditions on unbounded intervals, *J. Funct. Spaces Appl.*, **2013** (2013), pgg 9.
- [44] S. K. Ntouyas, Nonlocal initial and boundary value problems: a survey, *Handbook of differential equations: ordinary differential equations. Vol. II*, 461–557, Elsevier B. V., Amsterdam, 2005.
- [45] P. Pietramala, A note on a beam equation with nonlinear boundary conditions, *Bound. Value Probl.*, (2011), Art. ID 376782, 14 pp.
- [46] R. Precup, Componentwise compression-expansion conditions for systems of nonlinear operator equations and applications. Mathematical models in engineering, biology and medicine, 284–293, AIP Conf. Proc., 1124, Amer. Inst. Phys., Melville, NY, 2009.
- [47] B. Radhakrishnan and K. Balachandran, Controllability results for second order neutral impulsive integrodifferential systems, *J. Optim. Theory Appl.*, **151** (2011), 589–612.
- [48] A. M. Samoilenko and N. A. Perestyuk, *Impulsive differential equations*, World Scientific Publishing Co., Inc., River Edge, NJ, 1995.
- [49] M. Sapagovas, R. Čiupaila, Ž. Jokšienė and T. Meškauskas, Computational experiment for stability analysis of difference schemes with nonlocal conditions, *Informatica*, **24** (2013), 275–290.
- [50] M. Sapagovas and K. Jakubėlienė, Alternating direction method for two-dimensional parabolic equation with nonlocal integral condition, *Nonlinear Anal. Model. Control*, **17** (2012), 91–98.
- [51] Y. Sun, Necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of positive solutions of a coupled system for elastic beam equations, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **357** (2009), 77–88.
- [52] J. Sun, H. Chen, J. J. Nieto and M. Otero-Novoa, The multiplicity of solutions for perturbed second-order Hamiltonian systems with impulsive effects, *Nonlinear Anal.*, **72** (2010), 4575–4586.
- [53] J. R. L. Webb, Solutions of nonlinear equations in cones and positive linear operators, *J. Lond. Math. Soc.*, **82** (2010), 420–436.
- [54] J. R. L. Webb and G. Infante, Nonlocal boundary value problems of arbitrary order, *J. London Math. Soc.*, **79** (2009), 238–258.
- [55] J. R. L. Webb and G. Infante, Positive solutions of nonlocal boundary value problems: a unified approach, *J. London Math. Soc.*, **74** (2006), 673–693.
- [56] X. Xian, W. Bingjin and D. O'Regan, Multiple solutions for sub-linear impulsive three-point boundary value problems, *Appl. Anal.*, **87** (2008), 1053–1066.

- [57] J. Yan, A. Zhao and J. J. Nieto, Existence and global attractivity of positive periodic solution of periodic single-species impulsive Lotka-Volterra systems, *Math. Comput. Modelling*, **40** (2004), 509–518.
- [58] Z. Yang, Positive solutions to a system of second-order nonlocal boundary value problems, *Nonlinear Anal.*, **62** (2005), 1251–1265.
- [59] C. Yuan, D. Jiang, D. O'Regan and R. P. Agarwal, Multiple positive solutions to systems of nonlinear semipositone fractional differential equations with coupled boundary conditions, *Electron. J. Qual. Theory Differ. Equ.*, **13** (2012), pp. 1–13.
- [60] H. Zhang, L. Chen and J. J. Nieto, A delayed epidemic model with stage-structure and pulses for pest management strategy, *Nonlinear Anal. Real World Appl.*, **9** (2008), 1714–1726.

GENNARO INFANTE, DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA E INFORMATICA, UNIVERSITÀ DELLA CALABRIA, 87036 ARCAVACATA DI RENDE, COSENZA, ITALY

*E-mail address:* gennaro.infante@unical.it

PAOLAMARIA PIETRAMALA, DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA E INFORMATICA, UNIVERSITÀ DELLA CALABRIA, 87036 ARCAVACATA DI RENDE, COSENZA, ITALY

*E-mail address:* pietramala@unical.it